

**From:** breckmcdaniel@[REDACTED]  
**To:** rizzo\_dan@da.co.harris.tx.us  
**Subject:** Court order on Dobie's Girlfriend's Apartment's Home Phone  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 22, 2003 4:21:40 PM  
**Attachments:** [APP.DOC](#)  
[ORDER.DOC](#)

---

Dan,

Here is a copy of the application and order on Dobie's girlfriend's apartment's home phone. Please let us know if the order looks correct and/or if further information is needed and please get it signed, or, let us know who can if you are tied up. I specifically want to ensure that the C.C.P. article quoted is the correct one for this order as I have never done one of these orders before. But, I think that the same article will apply? We need this signed ASAP as SWB has already provided the records. Also, I do not know if you knew these records were available. I did not until last Friday and never even knew that they could provide us call detail records for a land line for calls which are not billed individually. However, I think it is a new development.

Regardless, I was hoping that it would clearly refute Erica's claim that she received a call at work(residence on Hartwick street) from Dobie at about 10 a.m. or so from her apartment, thereby, putting him at the apartment as an alibi as the nephews claim. But, it looks like the call detail records from the apartment shows that the home phone dialed Erica's place of employment on Hartwick Street at about 8:30 A.M. and again at 10:08 A.M. Erica claimed that the caller identification at the Hartwick house showed the apartment. Though this is possible, Erica dialed ghetto's cellular phone at 10:26 A.M. and the call connected with the phone at the apartments on Selinsky. Presumably, Erica knew that Dobie was with Ghetto and she called Ghetto's cell phone to reach Dobie.

Breck McDaniel

[breckmcdaniel@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:breckmcdaniel@[REDACTED])

Direct/Home: [REDACTED]  
Cellular: [REDACTED]  
Work: [REDACTED]  
Pager/Voice Mail: [REDACTED]  
Work Toll Free: [REDACTED]